

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/810,048

Applicant(s)

WIRIX-SPEETJENS, ROEL

Examiner

Leon Y. Lum

Art Unit

1641

All Participants:(1) Leon Y. Lum.(2) John Carson.**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2005**Time:** 1:00pm EST**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

22, 36, and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner had previously suggested allowable subject matter to Applicant's representative, who then proposed amended claim language. In the instant interview, the Examiner indicated that the proposed claim amendments are allowable and Applicant's representative gave permission for an Examiner's Amendment to be written. Applicant's representative also agreed that replacement figures should be submitted for Figures 1, 3-4, and 7..